PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D 23 MAR 2005 From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: PCT WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/GB2005/000041 07.01.2005 09.01.2004 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC B01D71/02, B01D53/22, C01B3/50 **Applicant** BP P.L.C. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: ☑ Box No. I Basis of the opinion ☐ Box No. II **Priority** Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. III ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** 2. If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220, For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. 3.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

Authorized Officer

9

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Bogaerts, M

Telephone No. +31 70 340-2335



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2005/000041

	Box No. I Basis of the opinion
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type of material:
•	□ a sequence listing
•	☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. format of material:
	☐ in written format
•	☐ in computer readable form
	c. time of filing/furnishing:
•	☐ contained in the international application as filed.
	☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Additional comments:

4,

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

4,12-14

No: Claims

1-3,5-11,15,16

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-16

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-16

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

्र क्षांस्ट्रांस जिल्लाम्

7-14

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2005/000041

Re Item V:

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: JP-A-4349926 (Patent Abstracts of Japan)

D2: JP-A-10028850 (Patent Abstracts of Japan)

D3: EP-A-1180391

D4: EP-A-1342500

D5: EP-A-1362630

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of independent claims 1,2 and 15 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

Documents D1-D5 disclose two layer metal Pd or Pd-alloy composite membranes consisting of a porous substrate and a Pd or Pd-alloy membrane where the Pd substantially exists on the outer surface of the porous substrate support with little or no presence in the pore channels of the substrate.

D1-D5 evenly disclose processes for the preparation of the membranes comprising the essential steps of preparing the porous substrate, treating the porous substrate with a pore filler, plating the support with a Pd solution and subjecting the membrane to a post-processing (see the relevant passages as indicated in the international search report).

D1-D5 (one or more of these documents) also disclose the features as claimed in dependent claims 3,5-11 and 16. The subject-matter of claims 3 and 5-11 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

Dependent claims 4,12-14 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step, the reasons being as follows:

No additional problem appears to have been solved by the additional technical features. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 4,12-14 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

Re Item VII:

Present claim 13 does not make sense: the subject-matter of claim 13 is in fact part of claim 12.

Re Item VIII:

The category of present claim 16 is not clear: it relates to a product or a process (Article 6 PCT).

H JEN BONEN